PROPERTY CONDITION ASSESSMENT



108 RUSH STREET MOUNT HOLLY, NORTH CAROLINA

ECS PROJECT NO. 48:3582

FOR

108 RUSH STREET, LLC

OCTOBER 23, 2023







Geotechnical • Construction Materials • Environmental • Facilities

October 23, 2023

Mr. Patrick Traynor 108 Rush Street, LLC 333 North Atlantic Avenue Suite 110 Cocoa Beach, Florida 32931

ECS Project No. 48:3582

Reference: Property Condition Assessment for 108 Rush Street, 108 Rush Street, Mount Holly, North Carolina

Dear Mr. Traynor:

ECS Southeast, LLP is pleased to provide the results of our Property Condition Assessment (PCA) for the referenced property. The scope of the PCA was performed in general accordance with ASTM guidelines and items contained within the ECS Proposal No. 48:4501P, dated September 28, 2023. We understand that the Property is being sold and you are the buyer.

It has been our pleasure to be of service to you on this project. Should you have any questions or comments with regard to the findings and recommendations, please feel free to contact us at your convenience.

Respectfully,

ECS Southeast, LLP

Lee F. Cox Senior Project Manager lcox@ecslimited.com 980-310-6483

masin D. Bour

Justin D. Bowman, PE Principal Engineer jbowman@ecslimited.com 704-622-6372

Project Summary

Construction System	Good	Fair	Poor	Action		Immediate	Over Term Years 1-10				
<u>3.2.1</u> Topography	Х			None							
3.2.2 Storm Water Drainage	Х			None							
3.2.3 Access and Egress	Х			None							
3.2.4 Paving, Curbing, and Parking	Х	Х		Replace			\$194,575				
<u>3.2.5</u> Flatwork	Х			None							
3.2.6 Landscaping and Appurtenances	Х	Х		Maintenance							
3.2.7 Special Utility Systems		NA		None							
3.3.1 Foundation	Х	Х		Further Assess	sment	\$10,000					
3.3.2 Building Frame	Х	Х		Repair	5	\$8,000					
3.3.3 Building Exteriors	Х	Х		Repair	5	\$55,000	\$70,000				
3.3.4 Exterior Doors	Х	Х		Replace			\$3,000				
3.3.5 Exterior Windows	Х	Х		Maintenance							
3.3.6 Roofing Systems	Х	Х		Replace			\$87,250				
3.4.1.1 Water Supply and Waste Piping	Х			None							
3.4.1.2 Domestic Hot Water Production			х	Maintenance							
3.4.2.1 Mechanical Equipment	Х	Х		Maintenance							
3.4.2.2 Mechanical Distribution System	х			None							
3.4.2.3 Mechanical Control Systems	Х	Х		Maintenance							
3.4.3.1 Electrical Service and Metering	Х			None							
3.4.3.2 Electrical Distribution	х	х		See Comment Report	s in						
<u>3.5.1</u> Elevators	Х	Х		Inspections			\$75,000				
3.5.2 Other Vertical Transportation Systems	х			None							
3.6.1 Sprinklers and Suppression Systems	х	х		Further assess	ment s	\$2,500					
3.6.2 Alarm Systems	Х			None							
3.6.3 Security and Other Systems	Х			None							
3.7.1 Interior Finishes		Х		None							
3.8.1 Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)			х	Further Assess	sment						
Totals			5	\$75,500	\$429,825						
Summary		Today's	Dollars			\$/Square F	oot				
Immediate Repairs	\$	75,500				\$1.08					
			Today's	Dollars	\$/Squa	are Foot	\$/Square Foot/Year				
Replacement Reserves, today's dollars			\$429,825.	00	\$6.17		50.62				
Replacement Reserves, w/10, 3.0% esca	lation		\$429,825.	00	\$6.17		0.62				

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

1.0	EXEC	UTIVE SUMMARY
	1.1	BACKGROUND
	1.2	PROPERTY DESCRIPTION 1
	1.3	INTERVIEW SUMMARY
	1.4	DOCUMENT REVIEW
	1.5	OPINIONS OF COST 4
	1.6	COST TABLES
2.0	PURP	OSE AND SCOPE
	2.1	SCOPE OF SERVICES
	2.2	ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES 10
	2.3	DEFINITIONS
3.0	SYSTI	EM DESCRIPTION AND OBSERVATIONS
	3.1	PROPERTY DESCRIPTION
	3.2	SITE CONDITIONS
	3.3	STRUCTURAL FRAME AND BUILDING EXTERIOR
	3.4	PLUMBING, MECHANICAL, AND ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS
	3.5	VERTICAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS 42
	3.6	LIFE SAFETY AND FIRE PROTECTION
	3.7	INTERIOR BUILDING COMPONENTS 48
	3.8	ACCESSIBILITY COMPLIANCE
4.0	EXTE	RNAL PROVIDED INFORMATION 58
	4.1	PRE-SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE
	4.2	BUILDING, LIFE SAFETY, AND ZONING COMPLIANCE
5.0	RECO	MMENDATIONS AND OPINIONS OF COST
6.0	LIMIT	ATIONS AND QUALIFICATIONS



TABLE OF APPENDICES

Appendix I: SITE LOCATION MAP AND AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH Appendix II: PRE-SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE Appendix III: SITE PHOTOGRAPHS



1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 BACKGROUND

ECS Southeast, LLP (ECS) performed a Property Condition Assessment (PCA) in general conformance with ASTM guidelines and additional scope items contained within the ECS Proposal 48:4501P dated September 28, 2023 for the property in Mount Holly, North Carolina - hereinafter known as the Property.

The PCA was conducted by ECS in response to the authorization of the Proposal by Mr. Patrick Traynor of 108 Rush Street, LLC, on October 2, 2023. The report was completed and reviewed by the following team members:

Lee F. Cox	Senior Project Manager
	Phone: 980-310-6483
	E-mail: lcox@ecslimited.com
Justin D. Bowman, PE	Principal Engineer
	Phone: 704-622-6372
	E-mail: jbowman@ecslimited.com

Reliance

This report is provided for the exclusive use of 108 Rush Street, LLC. This report is not intended to be used or relied upon in connection with other projects or by other unidentified third parties. The use of this report by any undesignated third party or parties will be at such party's sole risk, and ECS disclaims liability for any such third party use or reliance.

1.2 PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

The Property, located at 108 Rush Street, in Mount Holly, North Carolina, consists of a two-story industrial building. The building totals approximately 69,719 square feet and was reportedly constructed in 1892 with expansions in 1897, 1902, 1905, 1944, 1947, 1953, 1963, and 2003. Parking is provided with asphalt and concrete pavements.

SURVEY INFORMATION								
Date of Assessment	October 3, 2023							
Assessor	Lee F. Cox							
Weather Conditions	Sunny, 70° F.							
Property Contact	Jay Barry, President for AirBorn Manufacturing							



SITE INFORMATION									
Number of Parcels	two								
APN/Parcel ID	3597637890 and 3597646097								
Land Area	26.08 acres								
Major Cross Streets	Woodlawn Avenue								
Pavement - Parking	asphalt and concrete pavements								
Number of Parking Spaces	25								
Number of Accessible Spaces	0								
Number of Van Accessible Spaces	0								
Pedestrian Sidewalks	concrete sidewalks								

BUILDING INFORMATION								
Building Type	industrial							
Number of Buildings	one							
Building Height	two-story							
Square Footage	69,719							
Year Constructed	1892 with expansions in 1897, 1902, 1905, 1944, 1947, 1953, 1963, and 2003							

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION								
Foundation	unknown							
Structural System	masonry bearing walls and structural steel							
Roof	single-ply sheet membrane, modified bitumen, and asphalt shingle							
Exterior Finishes	brick veneer, concrete masonry units, and wood and vinyl siding							
Windows	steel-sash operable							
Entrance	glass storefront							



BUILDING SYSTEMS									
HVAC System	package units, window AC units, and space heaters								
Domestic Hot Water	electric water heater								
Water Distribution	copper								
Sanitary Waste Line	PVC and cast iron								
Electrical Service	600 volt/480Y/277 volt, 3-phase, 4-wire								
Branch Wiring	copper								
Elevators	one freight elevator - Park Manufacturing Co. hydraulic								
Fire Suppression System	wet and dry sprinkler systems								
Fire Alarm System	smoke detectors								

UTILITY SERVICE PROVIDERS							
Water	City of Mount Holly						
Sewer	City of Mount Holly						
Electric	Duke Energy						
Natural Gas	PSNC Energy						
Propane/Fuel Oil	N/A						

1.3 INTERVIEW SUMMARY

ECS was escorted through the Property by Jay Barry, President of AirBorn Manufacturing who provided information about the Property.

1.4 DOCUMENT REVIEW

ECS requested relevant documentation to gain insight into the subject property's physical improvements, extent, and type of use, and/or assist in identifying material discrepancies between reported information and observed conditions. ECS' review of documents submitted does not include commenting on the accuracy of such documents or their preparation, methodology, or protocol.

ECS was provided with an Historic Integrity Assessment & National Register Studylist Application report.



1.5 OPINIONS OF COST

The opinions of cost are provided in the attached reserve replacement table, and a summary of immediate repairs included in this report. The reserve replacement table covers capital expenditure items only. Items less than \$3,000 in cost have been excluded, except for immediate repairs, ADA or safety issues. Please refer to Section <u>5.0</u> of this report for a detailed explanation on how these costs are derived.



1.6 COST TABLES



Immediate Repair Cost												
Item	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Replacement Percent	Immediate Total							
3.3.1 Foundation												
FURTHER ASSESSMENT	1	Allow	\$10,000.00	100%	\$10,000							
3.3.2 Building Frame												
REPLACE DETERIORATED ROOF DECKING	1	Allow	\$5,000.00	100%	\$5,000							
REPAIR CONCRETE BEAM	1	Allow	\$3,000.00	100%	\$3,000							
3.3.3 Building Exteriors												
ALLOWANCE FOR MASONRY REPAIRS & REPOINTING	1	Allow	\$50,000.00	100%	\$50,000							
REPAIRS TO WOOD TRIM & SIDING	1	Allow	\$5,000.00	100%	\$5,000							
3.6.1 Sprinklers and Suppression Systems												
ALOWNACE FOR FURTHER ASSESSMENT OF SPRINKLER HEADS	1	Allow	\$2,500.00	100%	\$2,500							
Total Repair Cost					\$75,500.00							

						C	apital Res	erve Schee	dule										
ltem	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Cycle Replace	Replace Percent	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Total Cost
3.2.4 Paving, Curbing, and Parking																			
ROOT REPAIR & REPLACE EXISTING ASPHALT				2,500	SY	\$50.01	\$125,025	100%	\$125,025										\$125,025
MILL, OVERLAY AND RESTRIPE EXISTING ASPHALT				2,650	SY	\$22.00	\$58,300	100%	\$58,300										\$58,300
REMOVE AND REPLACE UNREINFORCED SLAB				250	SY	\$45.00	\$11,250	100%	\$11,250										\$11,250
3.3.3 Building Exteriors																			
ALLOWANCE TO REPLACE EXTERIOR SEALANTS	15	15	0	1	Allow	\$10,000.00	\$10,000	100%	\$10,000										\$10,000
PAINT EXTERIOR WALLS AND COMPONENTS	10	10	0	30,000	SF	\$2.00	\$60,000	100%	\$60,000										\$60,000
3.3.4 Exterior Doors																			
REPLACE OVERHEAD DOOR				1	EA	\$3,000.00	\$3,000	100%	\$3,000										\$3,000
3.3.6 Roofing Systems																			
REMOVE EXISTING ROOF & INSTALL SINGLE-PLY ROOFING AT WEST WING	20	20	0	3,700	SF	\$20.00	\$74,000	100%	\$74,000										\$74,000
REPLACE ASPHALT SHINGLED ROOFING SYSTEM	20	20	0	1,050	SF	\$5.00	\$5,250	100%	\$5,250										\$5,250
ALLOWANCE FOR MISCELLANEOUS ROOF REPAIRS				1	Allow	\$5,000.00	\$5,000	100%	\$5,000										\$5,000
REPLACE METAL ROOFING				500	SF	\$6.00	\$3,000	100%	\$3,000										\$3,000
3.5.1 Elevators																			
ALLOWANCE TO MODERNIZE FREIGHT ELEVATOR				1	Allow	\$75,000.00	\$75,000	100%	\$75,000										\$75,000
Total (Uninflated)									\$429,825.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$429,825.00
Inflation Factor (3.0%)									1.0	1.03	1.061	1.093	1.126	1.159	1.194	1.23	1.267	1.305	
Total (inflated)									\$429,825.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$429,825.00
Evaluation Period:									10										

ltem	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Cycle Replace	Replace Percent	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Total Cost
# of Square Feet:								69,719											
Reserve per Square Foot per year (Uninflated)							\$0.62												
Reserve per Square Foot per year (Inflated)								\$0.62											

2.0 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

2.1 SCOPE OF SERVICES

This Property Condition Assessment (PCA) was conducted in general accordance with ASTM E 2018-15, "Standard Guide for Property Condition Assessments: Baseline Property Condition Assessment Process". ECS understands that the Property is being sold and you are the buyer.

The primary purpose of a PCA is to note construction deficiencies and to identify components which appear to exhibit less than expected service life or which have been poorly maintained. The assessment is not intended to develop detailed remedial plans for identified problems. The services are qualitative in nature and do not include engineering calculations or design. Photographic documentation of our observations is attached.

The following building systems were observed in accordance with ASTM E 2018-15:

- Site Conditions
- Structural Frame and Building Envelope
- Plumbing, Mechanical, and Electrical Systems
- Vertical Transportation Systems
- Life Safety and Fire Protection
- Interior Elements
- ADA Considerations

Out of Scope Items

Environmental issues and concerns are considered to be outside of the ASTM scope of services for this assessment. Although properties may have possible environmental contamination, including, but not limited to radon, mold, lead-based paint, asbestos, lead piping, PCB's or volatile chemicals, these issues and concerns should be addressed by an Environmental Assessment, as defined by ASTM Guidelines. ECS recommends that properties be studied by a qualified environmental assessor who can appropriately access, identify, and quantify issues related to environmental safety concerns.

ECS is providing a Property Condition Assessment consistent with commercial and customary practices and the ASTM E-2018, current at the time the services are provided. The parties expressly acknowledge and agree that ECS is not providing a Reserve Study, which is subject to the National Reserve Study Standards and requires much more financial detail than a typical Property Condition Assessment.

The Property was constructed in 1892 with expansions in 1897, 1902, 1905, 1944, 1947, 1953, 1963, and 2003. Buildings that are 20 years old and older may have systems or components that are original but in good working order, and/or additional systems and components that have been installed that do not communicate with the older systems (i.e. fire alarm or energy management systems). Upgrading systems for energy efficiency or to interact with newer systems are normally out of the scope of a PCA unless specifically requested/authorized by the Client at the time of the proposal. In cases where the older systems are not working properly or have reached their expected useful life, recommendation for replacement of these systems and components will be provided in the report.



Please be advised that the scope of the field survey work includes only visual observations of readily visible physical components of the property and a check of a representative sampling of accessible common areas. Therefore, these assessments do not identify discrepancies within concealed spaces. No materials testing (e.g. destructive testing, roof cuts, coring of pavement, etc.) or field testing (e.g. water testing, etc.) was performed.

2.2 ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES

The PCA included site reconnaissance, limited interviews with property management, and inquiries or attempted inquiries with the local building and fire departments. Operational testing of building systems or components was not conducted. During the PCA, ECS conducted observations of the following facility features: site development systems; building structure systems; building exterior systems; building interior systems; roof systems; mechanical systems; electrical systems; plumbing systems; and life and fire safety systems.

This report is intended for review as a complete document. Therefore, interpretations and conclusions drawn from the review of any individual section are the sole responsibility of the User.

2.3 DEFINITIONS

2.3.1 ECS Definitions

Good, adj - the property or component is functional and should continue to provide its intended service with continued routine maintenance through the duration of the term.

Fair, adj - the property or component is functional but will likely require maintenance, repair or replaced during the duration of the term.

Poor, adj - the property or component is not functional. Immediate or near term repairs are required to bring the component back into service or replacement is expected during the duration of the term.

2.3.2 Partial List of ASTM Definitions

de minimis condition - a physical deficiency that is not material to the conclusions of the report.

deferred maintenance, n - physical deficiencies that could have been remedied with routine maintenance, normal operating maintenance, etc., excluding de minimis conditions that generally do not present a material physical deficiency to the subject property.

easily visible, adj - describes items, components, and systems that are conspicuous, patent, and which may be observed visually during the walk-though survey without: intrusion, relocation or removal of materials, exploratory probing, use of special protective clothing, or use of any equipment (hand tools, meters of any kind, telescope instruments, stools, ladders, lighting devices, etc.).

effective age, n - the estimated age of a building component that considers actual age as affected by maintenance history, location, weather conditions, and other factors. Effective age may be more or less than actual age.



expected useful life (EUL), n - the average amount of time in years that an item, component or system is estimated to function without material repair when installed new and assuming routine maintenance is practiced.

immediate cost, n - opinions of costs that require immediate action as a result of any of the following: (1) material existing or potentially unsafe conditions, (2) material building or fire code violations, (3) physical deficiencies that if left uncorrected would be expected to result in or contribute to critical element or system failure within one year or will result most probably in significant escalation of its remedial cost.

observation, n - the visual survey of items, systems, conditions, or components that are readily accessible and easily visible during a walk-through survey of the subject property.

observe, v - to conduct an observation pursuant to this guide within the context of easily visible and readily accessible.

obvious, adj - plain, evident, and readily accessible; a condition easily visible or fact not likely to be ignored or overlooked by a field observer when conducting a walk-through survey or that which is practically reviewable and would be understood easily by a person conducting the PCA.

opinions of costs, n - opinion of costs that may be encountered in correction of physical deficiencies.

physical deficiency, n - a conspicuous defect or deferred maintenance of a subject property's material systems, components, or equipment as observed during the completion of the PCA. - This definition specifically excludes deficiencies that may be remedied with routine maintenance, miscellaneous minor repairs, normal operating maintenance, etc., and excludes de minimis conditions that generally do not present material physical deficiencies of the subject property.

point of contact (POC), n - owner, owner's agent, or user-identified person or persons knowledgeable about the physical characteristics, maintenance, and repair of the subject property.

practically reviewable, adj - describes information that is provided by the source in a manner and form that, upon review, yields information relevant to the subject property without the need for significant analysis, measurements, or calculations. Records or information that feasibly cannot be retrieved by reference to the location of the subject property are not generally considered practically reviewable.

primary commercial real estate improvements, n - the site and building improvements that are of fundamental importance with respect to the commercial real estate. This definition specifically excludes ancillary structures, that may have been constructed to provide support uses such as maintenance sheds, security booths, utility garages, pool filter and equipment buildings, etc.

property, n - the site improvements, which are inclusive of both site work and buildings.

readily accessible, adj - describes areas of the subject property that are promptly made available for observation by the field observer at the time of the walk-through survey and do not require the removal or relocation of materials or personal property, such as furniture, floor, wall, or ceiling coverings; and that are safely accessible in the opinion of the field observer.



readily available, adj - describes information or records that are easily and promptly provided to the consultant upon making a request in compliance with an appropriate inquiry and without the need for the consultant to research archive files.

reasonably ascertainable, adj - describes information that is publicly available, as well as readily available, provided to the consultant's offices from either its source or an information research/ retrieval service within reasonable time, practically reviewable, and available at a nominal cost for either retrieval, reproduction or forwarding.

remaining useful life (RUL), n - a subjective estimate based upon observations, or average estimates of similar items, components, or systems, or a combination thereof, of the number of remaining years that an item, component, or system is estimated to be able to function in accordance with its intended purpose before warranting replacement. Such period of time is affected by the initial quality of an item, component, or system, the quality of the initial installation, the quality and amount of preventive maintenance exercised, climatic conditions, extent of use, etc.

representative observations, n - observations of a reasonable number of samples of repetitive systems, components, areas, etc., which are conducted by the field observer during the walk-through survey. The concept of representative observations extends to all conditions, areas, equipment, components, systems, buildings, etc., to the extent that they are similar and representative of one another.

routine maintenance, n - a repair that does not require specialized equipment, professional services, or contractors, but rather can be corrected within budget and skill set of typical property maintenance staff.

short term cost, n - opinions of costs to remedy physical deficiencies, such as deferred maintenance, that may not warrant immediate attention, but require repairs or replacements that should be undertaken on a priority basis in addition to routine preventive maintenance.

technically exhaustive, adj - describes the use of measurements, instruments, testing, calculations, exploratory probing or discovery, or other means to discover, or a combination thereof, or troubleshoot physical deficiencies or develop architectural or engineering findings, conclusions, and recommendations, or combination thereof.



3.0 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND OBSERVATIONS

3.1 PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

The Property consists of two parcels with 26.08 acres, identified by Gaston County as 3597637890 and 3597646097.

3.1.1 Property Location

The Property is located at 108 Rush Street in Mount Holly, North Carolina.

Surrounding Properties		
North	residential properties	
East	residential properties	
South	Woodlawn Avenue and residential properties	
West	commercial and residential properties	

A Site Location Map and Aerial Photograph are included in Appendix I.

3.1.2 Current Property Improvements

The Property is improved with a two-story industrial building totaling approximately 69,719 square feet. Parking is provided with asphalt and concrete pavements.

3.1.3 Construction History

ECS understands the building was originally constructed approximately 131 years ago in 1892 with expansions in 1897, 1902, 1905, 1944, 1947, 1953, 1963, and 2003.

3.2 SITE CONDITIONS

3.2.1 Topography

ltem	Description	Condition
Grading	Grading appears to slope away from the building	Good
Erosion	Erosion was not observed.	Good

Comments

The Property is located on a promontory in the bend of a stream. The ground is generally level and slopes away from the building to the west, north and east. The adjoining properties are located down gradient from the Property.



3.2.2 Storm Water Drainage

STORM WATER DRAINAGE			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Storm Water Collection System	Property storm water is directed from roofs to the paved and landscaped areas. Storm water is then diverted to the municipal underground storm water system or into the nearby stream.	Good	
Storm Water Pond		N/A	
Storm Water Filtration Structure		N/A	
Pavement Drainage	drop inlets and sheet flow off-site	Good	
Landscape Drainage	natural percolation	Good	
Sump Pumps		N/A	

Comments

Property storm water is directed from roofs to the paved and landscaped areas. Storm water is then diverted to the municipal underground storm water system or into the nearby stream.

Pavement drainage is provided by drop inlets and by sheet flow off-site. Landscape drainage is provided by natural percolation. No problems were reported or observed with either the pavement or landscape drainage.

3.2.3 Access and Egress

SITE ACCESS AND EGRESS			
Item	Description	Condition	
Site Access and Egress	Vehicles access the site from a driveway from Rush Street.	Good	
Walkable Neighborhood		N/A	
Site to Municipal Walkways		N/A	



SITE ACCESS AND EGRESS			
ltem	Condition		
Secured Access	Vehicle access is secured by a keypad-activated gate.	Good	
Easements		N/A	

Comments

Vehicular access to the Property is located on the south side of the site. The entrance apron are constructed of asphalt and was observed to be in generally good condition. Fire truck access is available on the southwest, south, and east sides of the building.

3.2.4 Paving, Curbing, and Parking

PARKING			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Striping		Poor	
Quantity of Parking Spaces	Approximately 25 parking spaces are provided.	Good	
Quantity of Loading Spaces		Good	
Arrangement of Spaces	Parking spaces are perpendicular to the drive lanes.	Good	
Site Circulation		Good	
Site Lighting	pole and building-mounted light fixtures	Good	

SURFACE PAVEMENT			
Item	Description	Condition	
Pavement Surface	asphalt and concrete pavements	Fair/poor	
Drainage		Good	
Repair History		Poor	
Curbs and Gutters		N/A	
Dumpster Pad		N/A	



SURFACE PAVEMENT			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Fire Lane Painting		N/A	

Comments

Parking is provided for approximately 25 passenger vehicles. The parking spaces are aligned perpendicular to two-way drive lanes. The striping was observed to be in generally poor condition.

Asphalt-paved drive lanes are located on the southwest, south, east, and north sides of the site. The asphalt pavement was observed to be in generally fair/poor condition. We observed areas of block and alligator cracks in the drive lanes and parking spaces. The expected useful life of asphalt pavement is 20 years. We recommend repairing these areas of asphalt pavement and providing an allowance to overlay the remainder of the asphalt pavement.

Concrete pavement is located on the southwest, south, and north sides of the site. The pavement was observed to be in generally good/fair condition: areas of cracked and spalled concrete pavement were observed. ECS recommends an allowance for replacement of these areas of concrete pavement.

Lighting is provided by pole- and building-mounted fixtures. The light fixtures were observed to be in generally good condition.

Photographs





Deteriorated asphalt paving at east side

Deteriorated asphalt paving at east side



108 Rush Street October 23, 2023



Deteriorated asphalt & concrete paving at north end

Recommendations

Cost Recommendation	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Year	Cost
ROOT REPAIR & REPLACE EXISTING ASPHALT	-	-	-	1	\$125,025
MILL, OVERLAY AND RESTRIPE EXISTING ASPHALT	-	-	-	1	\$58,300
REMOVE AND REPLACE UNREINFORCED SLAB	-	-	-	1	\$11,250
Total					\$194,575

3.2.5 Flatwork

SIDEWALKS			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Walkways	concrete sidewalks	Good	
Plaza		N/A	
Patios		N/A	
Steps	Cast-in-place concrete landscape stairs are provided at changes of grade on site. Rails are painted tubular metal.	Good	
Landings		Good	
Hand Rails		Good	



Comments

The southwest side of the building has concrete sidewalks. Regularly spaced control joints were observed. The concrete sidewalks were observed to be generally in good condition.

Exterior steps are located adjacent to the walkway noted above. The steps were observed to be in generally good condition. The handrail adjacent to the steps was observed to be in generally good condition.

3.2.6 Landscaping and Appurtenances

LANDSCAPING			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Trees	mature; one tree at east side inside masonry pier	Good/fair	
Planting Beds	lvy observed on walls at west side	Poor	
Lawn Areas		Good	
Irrigation System		N/A	
Monument Sign		N/A	
Site Signage	Property signage is located on the front of the building structure.	Good	
Landscape Lighting		N/A	
Retaining Walls		N/A	
Walls		N/A	
Fences and Gates	Site fencing is constructed of chain link mesh.	Good	
Dumpster Enclosure		N/A	
Fountains		N/A	
Flag Poles	aluminum pole at main entrance	Good	



Comments

The landscaping consists generally of mature trees and grassed areas around the site. The landscaping was observed to be in generally good condition. However, ivy was observed growing on the walls at the west side of the building., and a tree was observed to be growing inside a masonry pier on the east side of the building. ECS recommends the ivy and tree be removed as part of routine maintenance. (The masonry repairs to the pier are discussed in section 3.3.3.)

A flag pole is located near the main entrance to the building. The flag pole was observed to be in generally good condition.

Photographs







West elevation (note ivy on walls)



Damaged masonry pier at east side (note tree in pier)



3.2.7 Special Utility Systems

Comments

No special utility systems were observed or reported.

3.3 STRUCTURAL FRAME AND BUILDING EXTERIOR

3.3.1 Foundation

SUBSTRUCTURE			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Grade at the Foundation	The grade at the foundations slopes away from the building.	Good	
Foundation Structure	unknown	Good/fair	
Basements		N/A	
Concrete Floor Slabs	At north wing of building	Good	
Crawl Spaces	Crawl spaces appear dry	Good	
Crawl Space Insulation	Insulation was not observed at the crawl spaces		
Moisture or Water Infiltration Observed?	Moisture or water infiltration of the substructure was not observed.	Good	

Comments

The foundation type was unknown. The foundation system appeared to provide adequate structural support to the building, with the exception of an area on the west side of the north wing, where a grade beam appears to be sagging and has apparently caused severe cracking in the masonry walls. ECS recommends further assessment and repairs as required.



Photographs





Sagging grade beam & cracked masonry at west side

Cracked masonry at west side (interior view)



Cracked masonry at west side (interior view)



Cracked masonry at west side (interior view)

Recommendations

Cost Recommendation	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Year	Cost
FURTHER ASSESSMENT	-	-	-	Immediate	\$10,000
Total					\$10,000



3.3.2 Building Frame

SUPERSTRUCTURE					
ltem	Description	Condition			
Wall Framing System	masonry bearing walls and structural steel	Good			
Upper Floor Framing System	heavy timber and structural steel with wood planking	Good			
Roof Framing System	structural steel w/wood plank decking	Good/fair			
Other Concerns Noted?		N/A			
Interior Stair Framing	Interior stairs are steel framed, with concrete pan treads.	Good			
Mechanical Equipment Framing	structural steel dunnage at rooftop equipment	Good			

Comments

The structure of the building was observed from unfinished space in the plant areas, mechanical rooms, utility rooms, etc. The structure of the general building consists of masonry bearing walls and structural steel. The floor framing for the southern two-thirds of the building consists of heavy timber and structural steel with wood planking; the northern wing has a concrete floor slab supported by concrete beams. The roof framing consists of structural steel with wood plank decking. The structural frame of the building was generally in good/fair condition.

Some areas of deteriorated wood roof decking were observed. Subsequent roof replacement work has covered these areas with plywood sheathing, but without replacement of the wood planks the plywood may be inadequately supported in some locations. ECS recommends the deteriorated planks be replaced.

An area of spalled concrete and exposed reinforcing steel was observed on a beam supporting the north wing floor. ECS recommends the concrete beam be repaired.



Photographs





Deteriorated wood roof decking





Damaged concrete beam in crawlspace

Recommendations

Cost Recommendation	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Year	Cost
REPLACE DETERIORATED ROOF DECKING	-	-	-	Immediate	\$5,000
REPAIR CONCRETE BEAM	-	-	-	Immediate	\$3,000
Total					\$8,000



3.3.3 Building Exteriors

EXTERIOR FINISHES						
ltem	Description	Condition				
Main Exterior Finish	painted masonry (brick veneer and CMU)	Good/fair				
Secondary Exterior Finish	painted wood siding (gables at roof)	Fair				
Third Exterior Finish	vinyl siding at west side	Good				
Accent/Trim	painted wood trim	Good/fair				
Covered Soffits		N/A				
Paint		Fair/poor				
Sealants		Poor				
Evidence of Vandalism or Graffiti	Evidence of vandalism and graffiti was not observed.	N/A				

EXTERIOR BUILDING ELEMENTS					
ltem	Description	Condition			
Exterior Building Stairs or Steps	concrete; metal	Good/fair			
Balconies		N/A			
Decks		N/A			
Awnings	metal canopies at west side entrances	Good			

Comments

The primary exterior of the building consists of brick veneer; concrete masonry units are located at the north and west sides of the building. Wood siding was observed at the penthouse gables, and a small area of vinyl siding was present on the west side of the building. The building exteriors were generally in fair condition. Please note that no destructive testing was performed to confirm the type of building materials utilized. Some materials can only be confirmed through destructive testing such as EIFS and stucco.



The expected useful life of mortared joints is approximately 20 years before re-pointing is required. Damage and deterioration of mortar joints and masonry was observed in several locations, most notably at a pier on the east side of the building, and at a loading area door on the west side. ECS recommends an allowance for re-pointing of the deteriorated mortar joints and repairs to the damaged masonry.

The masonry, wood trim, and exterior metalwork are painted. The paint was peeling. Rust was observed on the exterior steel items. Painting of exterior components is typically recommended every 5 to 7 years. ECS recommends an allowance for the building to be cleaned and painted.

Exterior sealants are located around the window and door frames, horizontal joints, and vertical joints in the brick veneer. The expected useful life of exterior sealants is approximately 10 to 12 years before replacement is needed. The exterior sealants were generally in poor condition. The sealants were observed to be hard and separated from the substrate. We recommend that the exterior sealants be replaced.

Photographs







Damaged masonry pier at east side (note tree in pier)



ECS Southeast, LLP

108 Rush Street October 23, 2023





Failed paint on masonry

Cracked masonry at west side



Damaged masonry at door head



Corrosion at loading dock canopy framing







Failed paint on penthouse

Damaged wood fascia at east side



Damaged wood fascia at east side

Recommendations

Cost Recommendation	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Year	Cost
ALLOWANCE FOR MASONRY REPAIRS & REPOINTING	-	-	-	Immediate	\$50,000
ALLOWANCE TO REPLACE EXTERIOR SEALANTS	15	15	0	1	\$10,000
PAINT EXTERIOR WALLS AND COMPONENTS	10	10	0	1	\$60,000
REPAIRS TO WOOD TRIM & SIDING	-	_	-	Immediate	\$5,000



Cost Recommendation	EUL EFF AGE	RUL Year	Cost
Tatal			¢125.000

Total

\$125,000

3.3.4 Exterior Doors

DOORS					
ltem	Description	Condition			
Main Entrance Doors	glass storefront	Good			
Personnel Doors	hollow metal	Good			
Door Hardware		Good			
Overhead Doors	Roll-up overhead doors were observed at the loading area.	Good/fair			
Door Leaks	none reported or observed	Good			
Weatherstrippi ng and Doorsweeps	observed in one location	Good			

Comments

The main entrance is glass storefront. The main entrance door was generally in good condition.

Steel personnel doors are located at various locations. The personnel doors were generally in good condition. Exterior doors typically have an expected useful life of 20 to 30 years.

Overhead doors are located throughout the building. The operation of the overhead doors was observed to be working well. The overhead doors were generally in good/fair condition. One of the overhead doors was observed to be damaged. ECS recommends the damaged overhead door be replaced.



108 Rush Street October 23, 2023

Photographs



Damaged overhead door

Recommendations

Cost Recommendation	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Year	Cost
REPLACE OVERHEAD DOOR	-	-	-	1	\$3,000
Total					\$3,000

3.3.5 Exterior Windows

WINDOWS					
ltem	Description	Condition			
Window Frame	Windows are steel framed.	Good			
Glass Pane	Windows are single-glazed.	Good/fair			
Operation		Fair			
Screen		N/A			
Exterior Header		Good			
Exterior Sill		Good			
Gaskets or Glazing		Good			



Comments

Fenestration for the building consists of single-glazed steel-sash operable window units. The windows appeared to be in generally good/fair condition. Some minor corrosion was noted on the window framing, and some broken glass was observed. We recommend the framing be cleaned and repainted and the broken glass replaced. this can be doen as part of general maintenance.

Photographs



Typical steel window (exterior view)

3.3.6 Roofing Systems

ROOFING					
ltem	Description	Condition			
Main Roofing System	single-ply sheet membrane (TPO)	Good			
Secondary Roofing System	asphalt shingles	Fair/poor			
Third Roofing System	modified bitumen	Fair/poor			
Parapet Walls	flashed with single-ply membrane	Good			
Cap Flashing/ Coping	Parapets have clay tile cap flashing.	Good			
Substrate/Deck	wood planks in most areas	Good/fair			



ROOFING					
ltem	Description	Condition			
Slope/Pitch	some portions are low-slope, others flat;	Good			
Drainage	gutters & downspouts or internal drains	Good			
Plumbing Vents		Good			
Exhaust Vents		Good			
Equipment Curbs		N/A			
Pitch Pockets	at rooftop framing penetrations	Good			
Gravel Stops		N/A			
Skylights		N/A			
Flashing		N/A			
Expansion Joints		N/A			
Roof Access	Access to the roof was provided by ladder.	Good			
Roof Age	TPO roofing installed in 2022; mod-bit roofing unknown	Good/fair			
Warranty	Reported 25-year warranty on TPO membrane	Good			
Past Repairs		Good/fair			
Green Roof Technologies	The roofs are very light in color, and are expected to have high reflectance.	Good			
Maintenance Program		Unknown			

Comments

The main roofing system consists of a single-ply sheet membrane TPO roofing system over the majority of the building, with a modified bitumen roofing system over the west wing area and an asphalt shingle roofing system over a portion of the east wing. A small area of metal roofing was noted at the east and south sides of the building.

The single-ply roofing system was installed in 2022 and is currently under a roofing system warranty that expires in 2047. The single-ply membrane flashing is utilized on the parapet and adjacent walls. The expected useful life of a single-ply roofing system is approximately 20 years with proper maintenance. The single-ply roofing system appeared to be generally in good condition, with the exception of an area of ponding near the abandoned elevator penthouse, and a deteriorated patch observed at a transition. ECS recommends these two areas be repaired.


The west wing of the building appears to have a modified-bitumen roofing system, portions of which have been coated with a fluid-applied sealer. This roof appeared to be in generally fair/poor condition. ECS recommends the modified bitumen roofing be replaced with a single-ply TPO system.

Asphalt shingles are present at a portion of the east wing of the building. The expected useful life of an asphalt shingle roofing system is approximately 20 years with proper maintenance The asphalt shingles appeared to be in generally fair/poor condition. We recommend the asphalt shingles be replaced.

Small areas of corrugated metal roofing were observed at the east and south sides of the building. The metal roofing appeared to be in generally fair/poor condition, with moderate-to-severe corrosion observed. ECS recommends the metal roofing be replaced.

Some of the parapet walls were capped with clay tile coping. The parapet walls were observed to be in generally good condition.

Drainage for the roofing system is provided primarily by gutters and downspouts. The downspouts were observed to be damaged at at the west side, where a drain line was seen to be detached from its downspout. Also, a section of gutter was observed to be detached at the shingled roof area. The gutters and downspouts appeared to be in good/fair condition. We recommend that the downspouts be repaired.

Drainage for the modified-bitumen roofing system at the west wing is provided by internal drains. The drains appeared to be generally in good condition.

Photographs



Ponding near elevator penthouse

Modified bitumen roof at west wing







Parapet at west wing

Deteriorated patch at main roof



Damaged gutter at east side



Detached drain line at downspout (west side)



108 Rush Street October 23, 2023



Corroded metal roofing at loading area

Recommendations

Cost Recommendation	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Year	Cost
REMOVE EXISTING ROOF & INSTALL SINGLE-PLY ROOFING AT WEST WING	20	20	0	1	\$74,000
REPLACE ASPHALT SHINGLED ROOFING SYSTEM	20	20	0	1	\$5,250
ALLOWANCE FOR MISCELLANEOUS ROOF REPAIRS	-	-	-	1	\$5,000
REPLACE METAL ROOFING	-	-	-	1	\$3,000
Total					\$87,250

3.4 PLUMBING, MECHANICAL, AND ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS

3.4.1 Plumbing Systems

3.4.1.1 Water Supply and Waste Piping

PLUMBING - WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Domestic Water Piping	Domestic pipe was observed at the water heater to be copper.	Good	
Pipe Insulation	Pipe insulation was not observed.	N/A	



PLUMBING - WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM		
ltem	Description	Condition
Low-Flow Devices		N/A
Water Flow and Pressure		Good
Booster Pumps		N/A

PLUMBING - WASTE SUPPLY SYSTEM			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Waste and Vent Pipe	Waste and vent pipe was observed to be cast iron and PVC	Good	
Lift Stations		N/A	
On-site Waste Treatment		N/A	

NATURAL GAS SYSTEM			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Natural Gas Pipe	Natural gas pipe was observed to be painted black steel pipe.	Good	
Meter	on west side of building	Good	
Supports		N/A	

<u>Water Lines</u>

The main water supply lines inside the building are copper. No problems were reported or observed with the water supply pipes.

<u>Waste Lines</u>

The waste lines in the building are PVC and cast iron. No problems were reported or observed with the waste lines.

Natural Gas

Natural gas is provided to the building. The meter is located on the west side of the building. The gas lines in the building were painted black steel.



3.4.1.2 Domestic Hot Water Production

HOT WATER PRODUCTION			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Domestic Water Heaters	Water heater is an electric, 40-gallon unit	Poor	
Domestic Water Boilers		N/A	
Water Storage		N/A	
Circulation Pumps		N/A	

Comments

Domestic hot water to the building is provided by electric water heater located _____. The electric water heater was manufactured by Rheem in 1995. The expected useful life of an electric water heater is approximately 15 years with proper maintenance. ECS recommends the electric water heater be replaced as part of general maintenance.

Photographs



Water heater

3.4.2 HVAC Systems



3.4.2.1 Mechanical Equipment

EQUIPMENT			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Boilers		N/A	
Central Plant Pumps		N/A	
Chillers		N/A	
Cooling Towers		N/A	
Heat Exchangers		N/A	
Interior Package Air Conditioner		N/A	
Central Plant Air Handlers		N/A	
Split Systems		N/A	
Ceiling Fans		N/A	
Exhaust Fans		Fair	
Package Units		Good	
Package Terminal Air Conditioning (PTAC) Units		N/A	
Space Heaters (wall or ceiling mounted)		Good	
Air Conditioners (Window)		Good/fair	
Energy Star Labels		N/A	
Maintenance Program		Unknown	

Comments

The building is served by package units, window AC units, and space heaters.

The package units are located on the east and west sides of the building. The package units were manufactured by International Comfort Products, with manufacture dates ranging from 2015-2018. The package units were observed to be in generally good condition. The expected useful life of a package unit is 20 to 25 years with proper maintenance.



The window air conditioners are located in plant area offices. The air conditioners were manufactured by Electrolux and Frigidaire. The expected useful life of an air conditioner is 15 years with proper maintenance. The air conditioners were observed to be in good/fair condition. We recommend that the older air conditioner be replaced as part of general maintenance.

The electric and natural gas space heaters are located in the plant areas. The space heaters were manufactured by Sunstar Heating Products and Marley. The expected useful life of a space heater is 25 years with proper maintenance. The space heaters were observed to be in good condition.

The following is a limited list of major HVAC equipment readily accessible and observable during ECS's visit.

Photographs



Typical window air conditioner

3.4.2.2 Mechanical Distribution System

HVAC DISTRIBUTION			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Radiant Floor Heating		N/A	
Plumbing Pipe System		N/A	
Ducts		Good	
Return Air	ducted	Good	



The distribution system includes ducted supply and return. No problems were reported or observed with the ductwork.

3.4.2.3 Mechanical Control Systems

HVAC CONTROL SYSTEMS			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Controls	HVAC units are controlled by thermostats.	Good/fair	
Compressor (Pneumatic System)		N/A	
Variable Frequency Drives		N/A	

Comments

The thermostats are analog. The thermostats were observed to be in generally good/fair condition. ECS recommends the thermostats be replaced with digital units as part of general maintenance.

Photographs



Typical thermostat

3.4.3 Electrical Systems



3.4.3.1 Electrical Service and Metering

SERVICE AND METERING			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Service Entrance	600 volt/480Y/277 volt, 3-phase, 4-wire	Good	
Meter	located inside the substation	Good	
Emergency Power		N/A	
Transfer Switch		N/A	
Date of Last IR Survey		Unknown	
Arc-Flash Hazard Warning posted on service entrance?		Good	
Minimum clearance provided around equipment (3 feet or more)?		Good	

Comments

Electricity is provided to the building by Duke Energy through a substation located at the southeast corner of the building. The main electrical entrance is located on the east side, and provides 600 volt/ 480Y/277 volt, 3-phase, 4-wire service.

3.4.3.2 Electrical Distribution

ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Electrical Sub-panels		Good	



ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Arc-Flash Hazard Warning on distribution panels?		Good	
Branch Wiring	copper	Good	
Bus Ducts	Yes	Good	
Building Transformers		Good	
Sub-Meters		N/A	
Minimum clearance provided around equipment (3 feet or more)?	one panel observed to be obstructed	Good/fair	
GFCI Devices	GFCI outlets were observed.	Good	
COPALUM Connectors		N/A	

Power is distributed by copper wire from circuit breaker panels located throughout the tenant spaces. The circuit breaker panels were observed to be in generally good condition. One panel was observed to be obstructed by tenant items. ECS understands that the current owner is vacating the premises and will be removing the offending materials from in front of the panel.



108 Rush Street October 23, 2023

Photographs



Blocked electrical panel

3.5 VERTICAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS

3.5.1 Elevators

ELEVATORS			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Quantity of Passenger Elevators	none	N/A	
Quantity of Service Elevators	none	N/A	
Number of Freight Elevators	one in service; one abandoned	Good	
Capacity of Freight Elevators	4,000 lbs.	Good	
Manufacturer and Type	Park Manufacturing Co. hydraulic	Good	
Maintenance Contractor	Otis Elevator Co.	Good	



ELEVATORS				
ltem	Description	Condition		
Date of Last Maintenance Inspection	December 2021	Poor		
Cab Finishes	painted metal walls & ceiling; diamond plate floor	Good		
Elevator Certificates/ Permits	The elevator permit was posted in the elevator.	Good		
Door Sensors		Good		
Speed		Good		
Floor Leveling		Good		
Control System	Controls do not appear to have been modernized, and are lacking in braille signage.	Fair		
Fire Recall System		Unknown		
Lighting		Good		
Emergency Communicatio n	Emergency communication is present, but has not been modernized, and requires twisting or gripping to operate. Testing of emergency communication is beyond the scope of work.	Poor		
Equipment Room		Good		
Modernization	not yet modernized	Fair		

The building is served by one freight elevator. (A traction freight elevator is present in the building, but has been abandoned and is no longer operational.) The elevators were manufactured by Park Manufacturing Company. Otis Elevator Company currently has the maintenance contract for the elevators. The expected useful life of the elevator controls is 30 to 40 years with proper maintenance. The elevator was installed in 1994 and has yet to be modernized. ECS recommends modernization of the freight elevator.



Photographs



Freight elevator cab

Freight elevator controls

Recommendations

Cost Recommendation	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Year	Cost
ALLOWANCE TO MODERNIZE FREIGHT ELEVATOR	-	-	-	1	\$75,000
Total					\$75,000

3.5.2 Other Vertical Transportation Systems

OTHER VERTICAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Escalators	a conveyor belt from the lower to the upper floor is present at the south end of the plant area	Good	
Dumb-waiters		N/A	
Man Lifts		N/A	
Wheelchair Lifts		N/A	
Loading Dock Lifts		N/A	



The building is equipped with an electrically-powered conveyor belt between the two levels, located at the south end of the plant area. Th ne conveyor belt appeared to be in generally good condition.

3.6 LIFE SAFETY AND FIRE PROTECTION

3.6.1 Sprinklers and Suppression Systems

SPRINKLER AND SUPPRESSION SYSTEMS				
ltem	Description	Condition		
Sprinkler System (wet)		Good		
Sprinkler System (dry)	in crawl spaces and at north end	Good		
Sprinkler System (chemical)		N/A		
Date of Last Inspection (sprinkler system)	December 2022	Good		
Sprinkler Pipe Material	black steel	Good		
Sprinkler Heads		Unknown		
Fire Pump		N/A		
Hose Cabinets		N/A		
Fire Hydrants	in various locations around site	Good		
Fire Extinguishers	dry chemical ABC and CO2	Good		
Date of Last Inspection (Fire Extinguishers)	December 2022	Good		

Comments

The fire suppression system was observed but not tested. These devices are required to be inspected annually.



108 Rush Street October 23, 2023

The fire suppression system consists of wet and dry sprinkler systems. The sprinklers are connected to the fire alarm and security system. The sprinkler risers are located throughout the building. Dry valves serve the crawl spaces & north storage area, while wet valves serve the building areas. The sprinkler system was inspected by Gaston Sprinkler, Inc. in December 2022.

It is not known if recalled sprinkler heads are present in the sprinkler systems. ECS recommends an allowance for further assessment.

Fire extinguishers were observed in various locations. The fire extinguishers were observed to have inspection tags issued by Unifour Fire & Safety in December 2022. Replacement of the fire extinguishers is considered routine maintenance.

Fire hydrants are located around the site. The fire hydrants were observed to be in good condition.

Recommendations

Cost Recommendation	EUL	EFF AGE	RUL	Year	Cost
ALOWNACE FOR FURTHER ASSESSMENT OF SPRINKLER HEADS	-	-	-	Immediate	\$2,500
Total					\$2,500

3.6.2 Alarm Systems

ALARM SYSTEMS			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Central Fire Alarm Control Panel		N/A	
Annunciator Panel		N/A	
Public Address System		N/A	
Automatic Notification		N/A	
Bells	flow alarms at sprinkler risers	Good	
Strobes		N/A	
Pull Stations		N/A	



ALARM SYSTEMS			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Smoke Detectors	Smoke detectors were observed.	Good	
Carbon Monoxide Detectors		N/A	
Exit Signs		Good	
Exit Lights		Good	

The building is not served by a central fire alarm system.

Emergency exit signs and lighting, smoke detectors, and alarm bells are located throughout the building.

3.6.3 Security and Other Systems

SECURITY AND OTHER SYSTEMS				
ltem	Description	Condition		
Security Cameras	security cameras are provided	Good		
Alarm System	The building is provided with security alarm system manufactured by Honeywell	Good		
Access Control		N/A		
Security Fencing	Security fencing is located around the site	Good		
Lightning Protection		N/A		
Roof Anchors		N/A		
Fire Escape Stairs	at east side of building	Good		

Comments

The building is monitored by a computerized security system with cameras. Security cameras were observed around the plant area. The security system appeared to be generally in good condition.



3.7 INTERIOR BUILDING COMPONENTS

3.7.1 Interior Finishes

RECEPTION AREA			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Floor Finishes	unfinished concrete	Good	
Wall Finishes	painted gypsum board	Fair	
Ceiling Finishes	suspended acoustical tile	Poor	
Lighting	fluorescent fixtures	Good	
Occupancy Sensors		N/A	

OFFICES & MEETING ROOMS			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Floor Finishes	vinyl tile	Good	
Wall Finishes	painted gypsum board	Good	
Ceiling Finishes	suspended acoustical tile	Good	
Lighting	fluorescent fixtures	Good	
Occupancy Sensors		N/A	
Doors		Good	
Door Hardware		Good	

RESTROOMS			
Item	Description	Condition	
Floor Finishes	ceramic tile	Good	
Wall Finishes	ceramic tile	Good	
Ceiling Finishes	suspended acoustical tile	Good	
Fixtures	white porcelain	Good	
Accessories		Good	
Ventilation		Good	
Lighting	fluorescent fixtures	Good	



ECS Southeast, LLP

RESTROOMS			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Occupancy Sensors		N/A	
Doors		Good	
Door Hardware		Good	

BREAK ROOM			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Floor Finishes	vinyl tile	Good	
Wall Finishes	painted gypsum board	Good	
Ceiling Finishes	suspended acoustical tile	Good	
Counters	plastic laminate	Good	
Sink	stainless steel	Good	
Cabinets	wood	Good	
Stove/Range		N/A	
Exhaust Vent/ Hood		N/A	
Refrigerator		Good	
Dish Washer		N/A	
Microwave Oven		N/A	
Garbage Disposal		N/A	
Other		N/A	
Lighting	fluorescent fixtures	Good	
Occupancy Sensors		N/A	
Doors		Good	
Door Hardware		Good	



PLANT AREAS			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Floor Finishes	unfinished concrete and wood	Good/fair	
Wall Finishes	painted masonry	Good/fair	
Ceiling Finishes	unfinished (exposed structure)	Good	
Lighting	fluorescent fixtures	Good	
Occupancy Sensors		N/A	
Doors		Good	
Door Hardware		Good	

UTILITY ROOMS & STORAGE AREAS			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Floor Finishes	unfinished concrete, wood, or ceramic tile	Good	
Wall Finishes	painted masonry	Good/fair	
Ceiling Finishes	unfinished	Good	
Janitor Sink Area		N/A	
Lighting	fluorescent fixtures	Good	

STAIRS			
ltem	Description	Condition	
Floor Finishes	vinyl tile	Good	
Wall Finishes	painted masonry and painted gypsum board	Good/fair	
Ceiling Finishes	unfinished	Good	
Lighting	fluorescent fixtures	Good	
Doors		N/A	
Door Hardware		N/A	



The interior spaces include a reception area, offices & meeting rooms, restrooms, a break room, plant areas, utility rooms & storage areas, and a stairwell. ECS understands that the Buyer intends to renovate the building.

Reception Area

The finishes in the reception area include unfinished concrete floors, painted gypsum board walls, and suspended acoustical tile ceilings. The finishes in the reception area were observed to be in generally good/fair condition.

Offices & Meeting Rooms

The finishes in the offices & meeting rooms include vinyl tile floors, painted gypsum board walls, and suspended acoustical tile ceilings. The finishes in the offices & meeting rooms were observed to be in generally good/fair condition.

<u>Restrooms</u>

The finishes in the restrooms include ceramic tile floors, ceramic tile walls, and suspended acoustical tile ceilings. The restrooms were observed to be in generally good condition.

<u>Break Room</u>

The finishes in the break room include vinyl tile floor, painted gypsum board walls, and suspended acoustical tile ceiling. The finishes in the break room were observed to be in generally good condition.

Plant Areas

The finishes in the plant areas include wood and unfinished concrete floors, painted masonry walls, and unfinished ceilings. The finishes in the plant areas were observed to be in generally good/fair condition.

Utility Rooms & Storage Areas

The finishes in the utility rooms & storage areas include unfinished concrete, wood, or ceramic tile floors, painted masonry walls, and unfinished ceilings. The finishes in the utility rooms & storage areas were observed to be in generally good/fair condition.

<u>Stairs</u>

The finishes in the stairs include vinyl tile floors, painted masonry and painted gypsum board walls, and unfinished ceilings. The finishes in the stairs were observed to be in generally good/fair condition.

3.8 ACCESSIBILITY COMPLIANCE

3.8.1 Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)

U	Uniform Abbreviated Screening Checklist for the 2010 Americans with Disabilities Act (Section A)		
	ltem	Yes/No	Comments
A. History			



Uni	Uniform Abbreviated Screening Checklist for the 2010 Americans with Disabilities Act (Section A)			
	Item	Yes/No	Comments	
1.	Has an ADA Survey been completed for this property?	No		
2.	Have any ADA improvements been made to the property since original construction?	No		
3.	Has building ownership/management reported any ADA complaints or litigation?	Unkn own		

Uniform Abbreviated Screening Checklist for the 2010 Americans with Disabilities Act (Section B)			
	ltem	Yes/No	Comments
B. Pa	rking		
1.	Does the required number of standard ADA-designated spaces appear to be provided?	No	0 out of the 25 are accessible.
2.	Does the required number of van-accessible designated spaces appear to be provided?	No	0 out of the 0 accessible spaces are van accessible
3.	Are accessible spaces part of the shortest accessible route to an accessible building entrance?	N/A	
4.	Is a sign with the International Symbol of Accessibility at the head of each space?	N/A	
5.	Does each accessible space have an adjacent access aisle?	N/A	
6.	Do parking spaces and access aisles appear to be relatively level and without obstruction?	N/A	

Uniform Abbreviated Screening Checklist for the 2010 Americans with Disabilities Act (Section C)			
	Item	Yes/No	Comments
C. Exterior Accessible Route			
1.	ls an accessible route present from public transportation stops and municipal sidewalks in the property?	N/A	



Uniform Abbreviated Screening Checklist for the 2010 Americans with Disabilities Act (Section C)			
	ltem	Yes/No	Comments
2.	Are curb cut ramps present at transitions through curbs on an accessible route?	N/A	
3.	Do curb cut ramps appear to have the proper slope for all components?	N/A	
4.	Do ramps on an accessible route appear to have a compliant slope?	N/A	
5.	Do ramps on an accessible route appear to have a compliant length and width?	N/A	
6.	Do ramps on an accessible route appear to have a compliant end and intermediate landings?	N/A	
7.	Do ramps on an accessible route appear to have compliant handrails?	N/A	

Uniform Abbreviated Screening Checklist for the 2010 Americans with Disabilities Act (Section D)			
	Item	Yes/No	Comments
D. Bu	ilding Entrances		
1.	Do a sufficient number of accessible entrances appear to be provided?	Yes	
2.	If the main entrance is not accessible, is an alternate accessible entrance provided?	N/A	
3.	Is signage provided indicating the location of alternate accessible entrances?	N/A	
4.	Do doors at accessible entrances appear to have compliant clear floor area on each side?	Yes	
5.	Do doors at accessible entrances appear to have compliant hardware?	Yes	
6.	Do doors at accessible entrances appear to have compliant opening width?	Yes	
7.	Do pairs of accessible entrance doors in series appear to have the minimum clear space between them?	N/A	



Uni	Uniform Abbreviated Screening Checklist for the 2010 Americans with Disabilities Act (Section D)		
	ltem	Yes/No	Comments
8.	Do thresholds at accessible entrances appear to have compliant height?	No	

Uni	form Abbreviated Screening Checklist for the (Section E)	2010 Amer	icans with Disabilities Act
	Item	Yes/No	Comments
E. Int	erior Accessible Routes and Amenities		
1.	Does an accessible route appear to connect with all public areas inside the building?	No	
2.	Do accessible routes appear free of obstructions and/or protruding objects?	No	
3.	Do ramps on accessible routes appear to have compliant slope?	N/A	
4.	Do ramps on accessible routes appear to have compliant length and width?	N/A	
5.	Do ramps on accessible routes appear to have compliant end and intermediate landings?	N/A	
6.	Do ramps on accessible routes appear to have compliant handrails?	N/A	
7.	Are adjoining public areas and areas of egress identified with accessible signage?	No	
8.	Do public transaction areas have an accessible, lowered counter section?	N/A	
9.	Do public telephones appear mounted with an accessible height and location?	N/A	
10.	Are publicly-accessible swimming pools equipped with an entrance lift?	N/A	



Uni	form Abbreviated Screening Checklist for the 2 (Section F)	2010 Amer	ricans with Disabilities Act
	ltem	Yes/No	Comments
F. Int	erior Doors		
1.	Do doors at interior accessible routes appear to have compliant clear floor area on each side?	No	
2.	Do doors at interior accessible routes appear to have compliant hardware?	No	
3.	Do doors at interior accessible routes appear to have compliant opening force?	Yes	
4.	Do doors at interior accessible routes appear to have a compliant clear opening width?	No	

Uni	form Abbreviated Screening Checklist for the 2 (Section G)	2010 Amer	icans with Disabilities Act
	ltem	Yes/No	Comments
G. Ele	evators		
1.	Are hallway call buttons configured with the "UP" button above the "DOWN" button?	No	2-stop freight elevator
2.	ls accessible floor identification signage present on the hoistway sidewalls?	No	
3.	Do the elevators have audible and visual arrival indicators at the entrances?	No	
4.	Do the elevator hoistway and car interior appear to have a minimum compliant floor area?	Yes	
5.	Do the elevator car doors have automatic re-opening devices to prevent closure on obstructions?	No	freight elevator
6.	Do elevator car control buttons appear to be mounted at a compliant height?	No	
7.	Are tactile and Braille characters mounted to the left of each elevator car control button?	No	
8.	Are audible and visual floor position indicators provided in the elevator car?	No	



Uni	form Abbreviated Screening Checklist for the 2 (Section G)	2010 Ameri	cans with Disabilities Act
	Item	Yes/No	Comments
9.	Is the emergency call system at the base of the control panel and not require voice communication?	No	

Uni	iform Abbreviated Screening Checklist for the 2010 Americans with Disabilities Act (Section H)		
	ltem	Yes/No	Comments
H. To	ilet Rooms		
1.	Do publicly-accessible toilet rooms appear to have a minimum compliant floor area?	Yes	
2.	Does the lavatory appear to be mounted at a compliant height and with compliant knee area?	Yes	
3.	Does the lavatory faucet have compliant handles?	Yes	
4.	Is the plumbing piping under lavatories configured to protect against contact?	Yes	
5.	Are grab bars provided at compliant locations around the toilet?	No	
6.	Do toilet stall doors appear to provide the minimum compliant clear width?	No	
7.	Do toilet stalls appear to provide the minimum compliant clear floor area?	No	
8.	Do urinals appear to be mounted at a compliant height and with compliant approach width?	No	
9.	Do accessories and mirrors appear to be mounted at a compliant height?	No	

Un	iform Abbreviated Screening Checklist for the 2 (Section I)	2010 Amer	icans with Disabilities Act
	ltem	Yes/No	Comments
I. Ho	ospitality Guestrooms		



Uniform Abbreviated Screening Checklist for the 2010 Americans with Disabilities Ac (Section I)		ricans with Disabilities Act	
	ltem	Yes/No	Comments
1.	Does property management report the minimum required accessible guestrooms?	N/A	
2.	Does property management report the minimum required accessible guestrooms with roll-in showers?	N/A	

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) is a comprehensive civil rights legislation designed to prohibit discrimination on the basis of disability. The rules and regulations of the ADA require that new construction, renovations, and existing public accommodations provide accessibility for the disabled. Public Law 101-336- July 26, 1990, Section 302, Prohibition of Discrimination by Public Accommodations, states, "Discrimination includes a failure to remove architectural barriers and communication barriers that are structural in nature, in existing facilities...where such removal is readily achievable." The ADA requirements were revised in 2010. The 2010 requirements went into full effect on March 15, 2012.

Title III of the ADA includes barrier-free design standards and "prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability by private entities in places of public accommodations," and requires that "all places of public accommodation and commercial facilities be designed, constructed, and altered in compliance with the accessibility standards."

The Americans with Disabilities Act went into effect on January 26, 1993. The following requirements apply to buildings constructed prior to the act becoming effective.

- Items that are readily achievable must be made accessible.
- Areas of the building being renovated must be accessible and up to 20 percent of the construction budget must be used to update the Property in the following manner:
 - Access to the building
 - Access through the building
 - Restrooms
 - Others measures to provide accommodations.
- When a renovation or multiple renovations equal 50 percent or greater of the space in the building, the building is required to be fully compliant with ADA requirements.

The Property was constructed prior to the enactment of the ADA. In general the Property is not considered to be accessible. If the building will include places of public accommodation for planned use, we recommend further assessment by an architect to determine the extent and feasibility of accessibility improvements.



4.0 EXTERNAL PROVIDED INFORMATION

4.1 PRE-SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

The pre-survey questionnaire was returned to ECS and is attached in <u>Appendix II</u>. The information provided in the questionnaire is provided throughout this report.

4.2 BUILDING, LIFE SAFETY, AND ZONING COMPLIANCE

ECS researched FOIA data using online property data and/or contacted the local building code compliance offices for the local jurisdiction. The initial research did not indicate the outstanding building, life safety, or zoning violations. Upon receiving information regarding the status of the inquiries submitted, this report can be updated if necessary.



5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS AND OPINIONS OF COST

The opinion of cost are based upon approximate quantities, costs, and published information, and they include labor, material, design fees, appropriate overhead, general conditions, and profit. A detailed analysis of quantities for cost estimating purposes is not included. The opinion of cost to repair, replace, or upgrade the improvements are considered typical for the marketplace. No contractors have provided pricing. The actual cost of repairs may vary from our opinions and does not consider future challenges with material supplies due to supply chain issues and global crises (e.g. -COVID-19 pandemic). ECS has not included contingency funds in our opinions. The amounts indicated represent today's dollars. ECS offers the following comments relative to Immediate and Capital Reserves criteria:

Immediate Issues

Physical deficiencies that require immediate action as a result of (i) existing or potentially unsafe conditions, (ii) significant negative conditions impacting tenancy, (iii) material building code violations, (iv) poor or deteriorated condition of critical element or system, or (v) a condition that is left "as is," with an extensive delay in addressing same, would result in or contribute to critical element or system failure within one year.

ECS has also included physical deficiencies inclusive of deferred maintenance that may not warrant immediate attention, but requiring repairs or replacements that should be undertaken on a priority basis, taking precedence over routine preventative maintenance work within a zero to one-year time frame. Included are such physical deficiencies resulting from improper design, faulty installation, and/ or substandard quality of original systems or materials. Components or systems that have realized or exceeded their Expected Useful Life (EUL) that may require replacement to be implemented within a zero to one-year time frame are also included.

Capital Reserves

Capital Reserves are for recurring probable expenditures, which are not classified as operational or maintenance expenses, which should be annually budgeted for in advance. Capital reserves are reasonably predictable both in terms of frequency and cost. However, they may also include components or systems that have an indeterminable life but nonetheless have a potential liability for failure within an estimated time period. A component method has also been included within this report as well.

Capital Reserves excludes systems or components that are estimated to expire after the reserve term and that are not considered material to the structural and mechanical integrity of the subject property. Furthermore, systems and components that were not deemed to have a material affect on the use were also excluded. Costs that are caused by acts of God, accidents or other occurrences that are typically covered by insurance, rather than reserved funds, are also excluded.

Replacement costs were solicited from ownership/property management, ECS' discussions with service companies, manufacturers' representatives, and previous experience in preparing such schedules for other similar facilities. Costs for work performed by ownership's or property management's maintenance staff were also considered.



108 Rush Street October 23, 2023

ECS's reserve methodology involves identification and quantification of those systems or components requiring capital reserve funds within the evaluation period. Additional information concerning systems or components respective replacement costs (in today's dollars), typical expected useful lives, and remaining useful lives were estimated so that a funding schedule could be prepared. The Capital Reserve Schedule presupposes that all required remedial work has been performed or that monies for remediation have been budgeted for items defined in the Immediate Needs Cost Estimates.



6.0 LIMITATIONS AND QUALIFICATIONS

ECS's PCA cannot wholly eliminate the uncertainty regarding the presence of physical deficiencies and the performance of a property's building systems. Preparation of a PCA in accordance with ASTM E 2018-15 "Standard Guide for Property Condition Assessments: Baseline Property Condition Assessment Process" is intended to reduce, but not eliminate, the uncertainty regarding the potential for component or system failure and cannot reduce the potential that such component or system may not be initially observed.

This PCA was prepared recognizing the inherent subjective nature of ECS's opinions as to such issues as workmanship, quality of original installation, and estimating the remaining useful life of any given component or system. It should be understood that ECS's suggested remedy may be determined under time constraints, formed without the aid of engineering calculations, testing, exploratory probing, the removal of materials, or design. Furthermore, there may be other alternate or more appropriate schemes or methods to remedy the physical deficiency. ECS's opinions are generally formed without detailed knowledge from individuals familiar with the component's or system's performance.

The opinions ECS expresses in this report were formed utilizing the degree of skill and care ordinarily exercised by a prudent professional in the same community under similar circumstances. ECS assumes no responsibility or liability for the accuracy of information contained in this report which has been obtained from the Client or the Client's representatives, from other interested parties, or from the public domain. The conclusions presented represent ECS' professional judgment based on information obtained during the course of this assignment. ECS's evaluations, analyses and opinions are not representations regarding the design integrity, structural soundness, or actual value of the property. Factual information regarding operations, conditions and test data provided by the Client or their representative has been assumed to be correct and complete. The conclusions presented are based on the data provided, observations made, and conditions that existed specifically on the date of the assessment.



Appendix I: SITE LOCATION MAP AND AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH



Site Location Map 108 Rush Street Mount Holly, North Carolina 28120



Aerial Photograph 108 Rush Street Mount Holly, North Carolina 28120

Appendix II: PRE-SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

PROPERTY CONDITION ASSESSMENT PRE-SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

As part of the property evaluation, we ask that you please complete this questionnaire before ECS's site visit. For those questions that are not applicable, respond with an "NA". ECS will need to assess tenant spaces. Please make the appropriate arrangements to do so prior to the site visit. Your assistance in these matters is appreciated.

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

Name and address of property:

Year(s) constructed: 10(2) 2	
Vacation Constructed. 1872	Number of land acres:
Year of last renovation: Unknown	Building square footage
Number of buildings: /	Demois of date toolage: (65000
Number of stories:	Percentage of occupied sq. ft.:
Number of tepant spaces (and i	Turnover rate:
RECORDS AVAILABLE C	Number of vacant spaces:

RECORDS AVAILABLE ON SITE

As part of the property inspection, ECS will need to review available documents and information at the site.

Site Plan		at the Site.	
Constant	Ves Yes	No	□ N/A
Construction Drawings	Yes	No	
Certificate of Occupancy	Yes		
Rent Roll (tenant name and square footage)	Ves		
Recent Property Evaluation			L N/A
Elevator Inspection Certificates	Tes	L No	□ N/A
Boiler Inspection Certificates	Yes	L No	□ N/A
Schedule of Pouting Maint	Yes	□ No	□ N/A
Outetanding D. it is a maintenance	Ves Yes	No No	N/A
Cutstanding Building/Fire Code Violations	Yes	No	□ N/A
renant Complaint Log	Yes	No	□ N/A
Safety Inspection Records	Yes	No	□ N/A
Warranty Information	Yes	No	
Records of System and Material Ages (roof, MEP, paving, finishes, etc.)	Yes	No	
Leasing Literature or Brochure	Yes	□ No	
Current/Pending Litigation (pertaining to property condition)	Yes	No	
Green Building Certification	Yes	ΠNo	No. Free character
List of Contractors:			

We would appreciate copies of the current certificate of occupancy, elevator inspection certificates, boiler inspection certificates, 8½ x 11 floor plans, roof warranty and mechanical equipment information, rent roll, list of contractors and Green Building Certification.

PCA Pre-Survey Questionnaire Rev. June 27, 2019

Page 1 of 8

Vhat major physical improvements for the past five years have been				
the past into the past into years liave beer	a completed?			
Unknown	5-200 Sec. 20			
Are any major physical improvements planned in near future?	and the species			
new Build out Storage	in marchase			
List your ten most common work orders?				
Na				
10/11				
Have you been notified or are you aware of recolled and the test				
etc.) within the property? If so, what were the products and have	sprinkler heads, smoke detectors, appliance,			
	,			
Have you experienced any of the following historical major events				
Flooding	- damage cause by:			
Earthquake				
	nbe)			
/es				
Please list the utility providers for water sewage electrical approved				
(well, septic system, solar cells, etc.), if any. Do the utilities provide	and natural gas, including on-site facilities			
SITE DRAINAGE				
SITE DRAINAGE Is there a lift station on site? Yes No If yes, describe.				
SITE DRAINAGE Is there a lift station on site? Yes No If yes, describe.				
SITE DRAINAGE Is there a lift station on site? Yes No If yes, describe.				
SITE DRAINAGE Is there a lift station on site? Yes No If yes, describe. Are you experiencing any site erosion problems?	□ No If yes, describe.			
SITE DRAINAGE Is there a lift station on site? Yes No If yes, describe. 2 Are you experiencing any site erosion problems?	□ No If yes, describe.			
SITE DRAINAGE Is there a lift station on site? Yes No If yes, describe. 2 Are you experiencing any site erosion problems? QID Gacily	No If yes, describe.			
SITE DRAINAGE Is there a lift station on site? Yes No If yes, describe. 2 Are you experiencing any site erosion problems? Yes Are you experiencing any site ponding problems? Yes	No If yes, describe. No If yes, describe. No If yes, describe.			
SITE DRAINAGE Is there a lift station on site? Yes No If yes, describe. Z Are you experiencing any site erosion problems? Yes Old fact Are you experiencing any site ponding problems? Yes	No If yes, describe. M 2105100 No If yes, describe.			
SITE DRAINAGE Is there a lift station on site? Yes No If yes, describe. Z Are you experiencing any site erosion problems? Yes Old fact Are you experiencing any site ponding problems? Yes	No If yes, describe. March 205100 No If yes, describe.			
SITE DRAINAGE Is there a lift station on site? Yes No If yes, describe. Z Are you experiencing any site erosion problems? Yes Old fact Are you experiencing any site ponding problems? Yes	No If yes, describe. March 2105100 No If yes, describe.			
SITE DRAINAGE Is there a lift station on site? Yes No If yes, describe. 2 Are you experiencing any site erosion problems? Yes 012 Gards Are you experiencing any site ponding problems? Yes PCA Pre-Survey Questionnaire	No If yes, describe. March 205100 No If yes, describe.			
Type of material: Asphalt	onesele	0		
--	--	---	--	--
Year of installation	oncrete	Quantity: SF SY		
Last overlav	1.	62000		
Number of parking spaces:	Last se	ealcoat: KJ/A		
STRUCTURAL		Number of ADA compliance spaces		
Are there known areas of actilized				
failure, or other structural problems?	Ye	s 🗌 No if yes, describe.		
Are you aware of any cracking in foundations, slabs, or exterior walls?	Ye	s No if yes, describe		
Carlor and the second		y ey douring.		
Are you aware of water infiltration in basement	1911	A second s		
or crawl spaces?	Littes	No if yes, describe.		
BUILDING ENVELOPE				
Building exteriors were last pointed				
Do you have any water infiltration				
areas of poor insulation (doors, windows, walls	etc.)2	s No if yes, describe.		
Have you ever replaced any exterior caulking/se at the exterior of the building envelope?	L Yes etc.)?	s 🗌 No if yes, describe.		
Have you ever replaced any exterior caulking/se at the exterior of the building envelope?	L Yes etc.)? ealants	s 🗌 No if yes, describe.		
Areas of poor insulation (doors, windows, walls, Have you ever replaced any exterior caulking/se at the exterior of the building envelope?	L Yes etc.)?	s 🗌 No if yes, describe.		
Areas of poor insulation (doors, windows, walls, Have you ever replaced any exterior caulking/se at the exterior of the building envelope? ROOF Type of roof(s):	etc.)?	s No if yes, describe.		
Areas of poor insulation (doors, windows, walls, Have you ever replaced any exterior caulking/se at the exterior of the building envelope? ROOF Type of roof(s): Age of current roof(s): 2	etc.)?	s No if yes, describe.		
Areas of poor insulation (doors, windows, walls, Have you ever replaced any exterior caulking/se at the exterior of the building envelope? ROOF Type of roof(s): Age of current roof(s): Quantity in square feet:	etc.)?	S No if yes, describe.		
Areas of poor insulation (doors, windows, walls, Have you ever replaced any exterior caulking/se at the exterior of the building envelope? ROOF Type of roof(s): <u>Marcology</u> Quantity in square feet: Are there previous leaks that have been repaired?	etc.)? ealants -b-c 300 H(es	S No if yes, describe.		
Areas of poor insulation (doors, windows, walls, Have you ever replaced any exterior caulking/se at the exterior of the building envelope? ROOF Type of roof(s): Age of current roof(s): Quantity in square feet: Are there previous leaks that have been repaired? Do you currently have active roof leaks?	alants	S No if yes, describe.		
Are there previous leaks that have been providence of the set of t	etc.)? ealants	s No if yes, describe.		
Are there previous leaks that have been repaired?	etc.)? ealants	S No if yes, describe.		
areas of poor insulation (doors, windows, walls, Have you ever replaced any exterior caulking/set at the exterior of the building envelope? ROOF Type of roof(s): Age of current roof(s): Quantity in square feet: Are there previous leaks that have been repaired? Do you currently have active roof leaks? Can we have a copy of the warranty? Please provide the name and phone number of often does the roofing contractor visit the proper	Alants etc.)? ealants where 200 Hyes Hyes es No the roofin rty?	S No if yes, describe. Yes No if yes, describe. Yes No if yes, describe. No if yes, describe. g contractor that provides roof maintenance. How		

Rev. June 27, 2019

Page 3 of 8

HEATING/AIR CONDITIONING SYSTEMS	
Cooling	
Type of cooling equipment: CL(1/2/	Compressor size(s) (tons):
Age of most condenser units:	Number of condensers replaced in last 2
Condenser repairs done by site-personnel with appropriate Freon reclaiming equipment:	Yes No
Type of refrigerant used:	
Abnormal problems in recent years: Yes	No If yes, describe
Type/number of chillers:	Size of chillers (tons):
Compressor sizes:	# of condensers replaced in to the
Age of chiller units:	a solutions replaced in last 3 years.
When were the chillers last overhauled? (describe)	
Type of refrigerant used:	
What are your plans to retrofit chillers to "environmental	lly friendly" refrigerants?
Abnormal problems in recent years: Yes	No If yes, describe
Type/number of cooling towers:	Size of cooling towers: tons
Age of units:	Last major overhaul:
Abnormal problems in recent years: Yes	No If yes, describe
Heating	
Type of heating equipment:	Roiler/fumaco conceition:
Number of units:	Bollemanace capacilles.
Abnormal problems in recent years: Yes	No If yes, describe
AIR HANDLING	
Type and age of air-handling equipment:	N. 1- 7.7
Motor sizes:	Number of units:
Abnormal problems in recent years: Yes	o If yes, describe
Please provide the name and phone number of the me How often does the contractor visit the property?	echanical contractor that maintains the HVAC equipmer
PCA Pre-Survey Questionnaire	Page 4 of 8

ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS	
Capacity of building service in amps:	Lorin Malabellaria
Size of typical tenant unit service panel	l in amps:
Service panels are: 🛛 Breakers	Truse Box
Abnormal problems in recent years:	Yes No If yes, describe.
12 NJ	
s there an inspection program establis	shed for building wiring systems? : Yes No If yes, describe.
EMERGENCY POWER & LIGH	ITING
Type and age of generator:	
Is emergency power tested regularly?	Yes No (describe)
Type and ages of emergency lighting:	Contraction of the second s
Is emergency lighting tested regularly?	Ves No (describe)
Abnormal problems in recent years:	Yes No If yes, describe
TRANSFORMERS	
Number and type of clostrical transfe	
the second and type of electrical transform	mers:
Is all equipment accessible with no iter	
Abnormal problems in recent years:	Yes No If yes describe
INTERIOR LIGHTING	
Type and age of fixtures: (describe wi mounted, recessed, pendant, or track	hether incandescent, fluorescent, or high intensity; and whether surface mounted)
Are fixtures energy efficient: Xoc C	No describe
Abnormal problems in recent years	
in room years.	
	Un knewn
Are there any vacant or unusable tena	ant spaces at the property?: Yes No If yes, describe

PCA Pre-Survey Questionnaire Rev. June 27, 2019

Page 5 of 8

LONDINO DI DI LINO	5	14/16/16/2016/2016	
Type of water supply piping	(within the walls): ed steel (PB	3) Polybutylene	Polyvinyl Chloride
Type of drain piping:	ABS C		
Abnormal problems in recer	nt years: Yes	No If yes, describe.	Other (describe)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
ELEVATORS & ESCA	LATORS		
Age:	Quanti	ity:	
Manufacturer:	Hydrau	ulic or traction?	Capacity:
Date systems last inspected	d:		
Is there a maintenance con	tract in place?	annully	
Please provide the name an	nd phone number	of the maint	
	prone number	or the maintenance contract	or(s):
Is equipment outfitted with I	handicap provision		
Abnormal problems in recer	nt years: Ves	No Yes No	
FIRE ALARM & FIRE	SUPPRESSIO	N SYSTEM	
FIRE ALARM & FIRE Type/age of fire alarm and a Do you have a central alarm If yes, is it remotely monitor	SUPPRESSIO	N SYSTEM	
FIRE ALARM & FIRE Type/age of fire alarm and a Do you have a central alarm If yes, is it remotely monitor Smoke detectors: Yes	SUPPRESSIO suppression syste m station: Yes red? Yes	N SYSTEM	
FIRE ALARM & FIRE Type/age of fire alarm and a Do you have a central alarm If yes, is it remotely monitor Smoke detectors: Yes Fire extinguishers: Yes	SUPPRESSIO suppression syste m station:Yes red?Yes No No	M SYSTEM em: No No Last inspected (mon	th/year):
FIRE ALARM & FIRE Type/age of fire alarm and a Do you have a central alarm If yes, is it remotely monitor Smoke detectors: Yes Fire extinguishers: Yes Sprinkler system: Yes	SUPPRESSION suppression syste m station: Yes red? Yes No No No	No Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon	th/year): th/year):
FIRE ALARM & FIRE Type/age of fire alarm and a Do you have a central alarm If yes, is it remotely monitor Smoke detectors: Yes Fire extinguishers: Yes Sprinkler system: Yes Please provide the name a	SUPPRESSION suppression system m station: Yes red? Yes No No No No No No No No	M SYSTEM em: No No Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon for the fire alarm/suppression)	th/year): th/year): th/year):
FIRE ALARM & FIRE Type/age of fire alarm and a Do you have a central alarm If yes, is it remotely monitor Smoke detectors: Yes Fire extinguishers: Yes Sprinkler system: Yes Please provide the name a	SUPPRESSION suppression syste m station: Yes red? Yes No No No No No	N SYSTEM em: No No Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon cof the fire alarm/suppressio	th/year): th/year): th/year): n contractor(s):
FIRE ALARM & FIRE Type/age of fire alarm and a Do you have a central alarm If yes, is it remotely monitor Smoke detectors: Yes Fire extinguishers: Yes Sprinkler system: Yes Please provide the name a SECURITY SYSTEM	SUPPRESSION suppression system mistation: Yes red? Yes No No No No No No No	M SYSTEM em: No No Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon for the fire alarm/suppression	th/year): th/year): th/year): n contractor(s):
FIRE ALARM & FIRE Type/age of fire alarm and a Do you have a central alarm If yes, is it remotely monitor Smoke detectors: Yes Fire extinguishers: Yes Sprinkler system: Yes Please provide the name a SECURITY SYSTEM Type: Access	SUPPRESSION suppression system m station: Yes red? Yes No No No No No Stematic Bates	M SYSTEM em: No No Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon cof the fire alarm/suppressio	th/year): th/year): th/year): n contractor(s):
FIRE ALARM & FIRE Type/age of fire alarm and a Do you have a central alarm If yes, is it remotely monitor Smoke detectors: Yes Fire extinguishers: Yes Sprinkler system: Yes Please provide the name a SECURITY SYSTEM Type: Access System	SUPPRESSION suppression system m station: Yes red? Yes No No No No No nd phone number stematic Patrol	M SYSTEM em: DNO NO Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon for the fire alarm/suppression Difference alarm/supp	th/year): th/year): th/year): th/year): n contractor(s):
FIRE ALARM & FIRE Type/age of fire alarm and a Do you have a central alarm If yes, is it remotely monitor Smoke detectors: Yes Fire extinguishers: Yes Sprinkler system: Yes Please provide the name a SECURITY SYSTEM Type: Access Age: Is	SUPPRESSION suppression system m station: Yes red? Yes No No No No INO INO INO Stematic Patrol there a maintenant	N SYSTEM em: No No Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon for the fire alarm/suppression Intruder Detection	th/year): th/year): th/year): n contractor(s):
FIRE ALARM & FIRE Type/age of fire alarm and a Do you have a central alarm If yes, is it remotely monitor Smoke detectors: Yes Fire extinguishers: Yes Sprinkler system: Yes Please provide the name a SECURITY SYSTEM Type: Access Age: Is Please provide the name a	SUPPRESSION suppression system m station: Yes red? Yes No No No No No stematic Patrol there a maintenant and phone number	N SYSTEM em: No No Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon of the fire alarm/suppressio Intruder Detection nce contract in place? Ye for the security system part	th/year): th/year): th/year): th/year): n contractor(s):
FIRE ALARM & FIRE Type/age of fire alarm and a Do you have a central alarm If yes, is it remotely monitor Smoke detectors: Yes Fire extinguishers: Yes Sprinkler system: Yes Please provide the name a SECURITY SYSTEM Type: Access Age: Is Please provide the name a	SUPPRESSION suppression system m station: Yes red? Yes No No No No Ind phone number stematic Patrol there a maintenant and phone number	N SYSTEM em: No No Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon Cast inspected (th/year): th/year): th/year): n contractor(s):
FIRE ALARM & FIRE Type/age of fire alarm and a Do you have a central alarm If yes, is it remotely monitor Smoke detectors: Yes Fire extinguishers: Yes Please provide the name a SECURITY SYSTEM Type: Access Age: Is Please provide the name a INTERNET SERVICE Internet provider and spee	SUPPRESSION suppression system m station: Yes red? Yes No No No No No stematic Patrol there a maintenant and phone number stematic Patrol	M SYSTEM em: DNO No Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon Last inspected (mon of the fire alarm/suppression of the fire alarm/suppression nce contract in place? Ye r of the security system contin	th/year): th/year): th/year): n contractor(s):

PCA Pre-Survey Questionnaire Rev. June 27, 2019

Page 6 of 8

SITE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT/REPAIR HISTORY

Please complete the following schedule as to the status of replacement of any recurring, components, items or systems. List any additional systems that have been replaced, added, or improved at this site

Item or System	Total Quantity	Quantity Replaced Thus Far	Date Replaced by Year(s)	Average Cost for Replacement	Comments
Asphalt Pavement		Charle and		\$	
Seal Coat				S	
Re-stripe			203 809	S	
Overlay				S	and the second second
Fencing				S	
Exterior surface (paint)	1211		2	\$	
Balcony repair				\$	
Roof coverings			1.6	S	
Steep-pitch shingles				\$	
Low-slope (flat)				\$	
Domestic water boilers				\$	
Central boiler				\$	
Boiler peripherals				\$	
Water heaters				\$	
Furnaces (electric)				\$	
Furnaces (gas)				\$	
Electric baseboard				\$	
Heat pumps				S	
AC condenser units				\$	
Cooling towers			1.1	S	The second second
Chillers		Contraction of the		\$	
Air-handlers				S	
Elevator (overhaul)				S	
Window AC units				S	
Replace windows				S	
Replace ext. doors				S	
Carpeting				S	
Vinyl floor covering				S	
Other:				\$	
Other:				¢	CARLES CONTRACT
				\$	

PCA Pre-Survey Questionnaire Rev. June 27, 2019

Page 7 of 8

ACCESSIBILITY (ADA) IMPROVEMENTS/HIS	STORY
Was a previous ADA study performed for the property? If yes, please provide date and details of report:	Yes No
	Unknown
Do you have an ADA compliance plan? Yes	No If yes, describe.
Unk	nan
Were previous ADA improvements performed for the pro- If yes, please provide description of improvements:	operty? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	Unknown
Were previous complaints regarding ADA filed for the pro- If yes, please provide details and date of complaints:	operty? Yes No
J	nkrown
PERSON COMPLETING QUESTIONNAIRE	
Signature:	Title: Managan D. Lo col
Name (print): Patrick Tunnel	Date: 10 (2 23
Telephone: S18-253-2448	Fax:

PCA Pre-Survey Questionnaire Rev. June 27, 2019

Page 8 of 8

Appendix III: SITE PHOTOGRAPHS



1 - South elevation



2 - East elevation



3 - East elevation



4 - East elevation



5 - East elevation



6 - East elevation



7 - East elevation



8 - North elevation



9 - West elevation



10 - West elevation (note ivy on walls)



11 - West elevation



12 - West elevation (note ivy on walls)



13 - West elevation



14 - West elevation



15 - Typical drop inlet



16 - View of entrance gate at Rush Street



17 - Driveway at south end of building



18 - Driveway at south end of building



19 - Parking area at west side of building



20 - Parking area at west side of building



21 - Parking area at west side of building



22 - Deteriorated asphalt paving at east side



23 - Deteriorated asphalt paving at east side



24 - Deteriorated asphalt & concrete paving at north end



25 - Typical pole-mounted light fixture



26 - Typical building-mounted light fixture



27 - Walkway at west side



28 - Security fencing



29 - Flagpole



30 - Sagging grade beam & cracked masonry at west side



31 - Cracked masonry at west side (interior view)



32 - Cracked masonry at west side (interior view)



33 - Cracked masonry at west side (interior view)



34 - View of typical interior steel beams



35 - View of typical interior wood beams



36 - Deteriorated wood roof decking



37 - Poorly-supported plywood at gap in roof deck



38 - View of typical interior structure (north end)



39 - Crawlspace below floor



40 - Damaged concrete beam in crawlspace



41 - Cracked masonry in crawlspace



42 - Damaged masonry pier at east side



43 - Damaged masonry pier at east side (note tree in pier)



44 - Failed paint on masonry



45 - Cracked masonry at west side



46 - Typical concrete steps at doorway



47 - Metal steps at doorway



48 - Damaged masonry at door head



49 - Corrosion at loading dock canopy framing



50 - Failed paint on penthouse



51 - Damaged wood fascia at east side



52 - Damaged wood fascia at east side



53 - Main entrance door



54 - Typical personnel door



55 - Typical overhead doors



56 - Damaged overhead door



57 - Typical steel window (exterior view)



58 - Typical steel window (interior view)


59 - View of roof



60 - View of roof



61 - View of roof



62 - View of roof at north end



63 - Abandoned elevator penthouse



64 - View of roof



65 - View of roof



66 - View of roof



67 - Typical vent pipe



68 - Terra cotta coping at parapet



69 - Ponding near elevator penthouse



70 - Modified bitumen roof at west wing



71 - Typical roof drain at west wing



72 - Exhaust vent at west wing



73 - Parapet at west wing



74 - Deteriorated patch at main roof



75 - Asphalt shingles at east side



76 - Metal roofing at east side



77 - Damaged gutter at east side



78 - Detached drain line at downspout (west side)



79 - Corroded metal roofing at loading area



80 - View of lower roof ladder



81 - View of upper roof ladder



82 - Gas meter



83 - Water heater



84 - Typical package unit



85 - Typical window air conditioner



86 - Gas-fired unit heater



87 - Typical electric unit heater



88 - Typical ductwork



89 - Typical thermostat



90 - View of electrical substation at east side



91 - Electrical entrance



92 - Electrical bus duct (at center)



93 - Typical step-down transformer



94 - Typical electrical panel



95 - Blocked electrical panel



96 - Freight elevator cab

108 Rush Street October 23, 2023



97 - Freight elevator controls



98 - Freight elevator inspection certificate



99 - Elevator machine room



100 - Abandoned freight elevator



101 - Abandoned freight elevator penthouse



102 - Conveyor belt in plant area



103 - Typical wet sprinkler riser



104 - Typical wet sprinkler inspection tag



105 - Typical dry sprinkler riser



106 - Compressor for dry sprinkler system



107 - Typical dry sprinkler inspection tag



108 - Typical hydrant & PIVs



109 - Fire department connection (FDC)



110 - Typical CO2 fire extinguisher



111 - Typical dry chemical fire extinguisher



112 - Typical sprinkler alarm bell



113 - Typical smoke detector



114 - Typical exit sign



115 - Typical emergency lights



116 - Security system control panel



117 - Security system control panel



118 - Typical security system camera



119 - Fire stairs at east side



120 - Fire stairs at east side



121 - Reception area



122 - Typical office



123 - Typical office



124 - Meeting room



125 - Typical restroom



126 - Typical restroom



127 - Typical restroom



128 - Break room



129 - Break room



130 - Stairs (at lower level)
ECS Southeast, LLP



131 - Stairs (at upper level)



132 - Loose handrail (at right) in stairwell

ECS Southeast, LLP



133 - Utility room



134 - Utility room

ECS Southeast, LLP



135 - Utility room



136 - Storage room



137 - Storage room



138 - Plant area



139 - Plant area



140 - Damaged flooring in plant area



141 - Sagging floor in plant area



142 - Plant area



143 - Plant area